Finding Changes in the Tonic of Indian Classical Music Samhita Konduri¹ and Dr. Vishnu S. Pendyala²



INTRODUCTION





- Journal, 37(3), 82-98. https://doi.org/10.1162/COMJ a 00194
- Gajjar, K., & Patel, M. (2017). Computational musicology for raga analysis in indian classical music: A critical review. International Journal of Computer Applications, 172(9), 42-47. https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2017915211
- Gulati, S., Bellur, A., Salamon, J., Ranjani, H. G., Ishwar, V., Murthy, H. A., & Serra, X. (2014). Automatic Tonic Identification in Indian Art Music: Approaches and Evaluation. Journal of New Music Research, 43(01), 55–73.

¹Palo Alto High School, ²San Jose State University

DATA AND FINDINGS



CONCLUSIONS AND ANALYSIS

- PCA keeps pseudo-MFCCs instead of the real 1212 MFCCs
- t-SNE is better at preserving the data given non-linear
- Performing PCA (25 components) before performing t-SNE produced the best clustering
- There are 1187 MFCCs that do not help to determine tonic.
- Clusters are spread out across feature space • Other factors affect MFCCs more than tonic

IMPLICATIONS AND NEXT STEPS

This data shows that computing power can be saved

Next, I will build a tonic identification system



Only ~25 pseudo-MFCCs can help with tonic identification - Storing the other pseudo-MFCCs is not necessary

Other factors that affect tonic even more than MFCCs could be explored further

Using data from spectrograms